

## Provisional and Conditional clauses in Old Japanese

Bjarke Frellesvig, Stephen Wright Horn, Kerri L Russell (University of Oxford),  
and Peter Sells (University of York)

The discourse-status and reference-coding properties of subordinated and coordinated clauses in Old Japanese (OJ, c. 8th century) and Early Middle Japanese (EMJ, 800-1200) have received some attention in previous literature (Akiba 1977, Ohori 1994, McAuley 2002), but not a lot, and none of these studies considered these discourse-related properties along with the syntactic structures of the language. The research reported in this paper is based on the Oxford Corpus of Old Japanese (OCOJ), an extensive annotated corpus of Japanese texts from the 8th century, investigating these properties further, in the context of more clearly articulated syntactic structures.

We discuss four different clause types, indicated by the form of their predicate: Gerund clauses (ending in *-te*), forming a coordinate or consecutive construction; Concessive clauses “even though” (ending in *-(e)do* marking a Concessive (“even though”)); Provisional (ending in *-(e)ba*), sometimes called “realis conditional”; and Conditional (ending in *-(a)ba*), sometimes called “irrealis conditional”. Except for the Provisional, these clause types are familiar from other languages. The Provisional of OJ presents one event as being connected to another, sometimes with the meaning of ‘when  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ’ or ‘because  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ’, or with a less distinct connection, which might be paraphrased ‘given that  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ’ or ‘now that  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ’.

The functions of the Provisional in subordinating one clause to another are now performed in NJ by the suffix *-to* (Kuno 1973, Yamaguchi-Fujii 1993). What is remarkable is how closely the syntactic structures of 8<sup>th</sup> century Provisional *ba*-clauses and 21<sup>st</sup> century *to*-clauses match. Kuno (1973: 209) summarizes his study of NJ subordinating and coordinating suffixes relating an  $S_1$  to a following  $S_2$  by classifying NJ *-to* as a marker of loose subordination and *-te* as a marker of coordination. His tests for the subordinating status of *-to* are: the semantic scope of an overt subject of  $S_1$  can be limited to  $S_1$ , and the overt subject of  $S_2$  may appear to the left of  $S_1$  (therefore  $S_1$  is embedded inside  $S_2$ ). However, no negative, aspect or illocutionary markers in  $S_2$  may scope over  $S_1$ .

Using electronic tools to search the OCOJ, we investigated these same properties for Provisional clauses, and found exactly the same results, based on around 900 examples. A Provisional  $S_1$  is embedded within an  $S_2$ , though it is quite ‘high’ within  $S_2$ . This syntactic structure also explains the observations in Ohori (1994) that epistemic and deontic modality in  $S_2$  cannot scope over a Provisional  $S_1$ . The OCOJ is marked up for phrase and clause boundaries, and therefore can be used to probe syntactic subordination and coordination. We have found examples like (1) where a null object in  $S_1$  corefers with an overt NP in  $S_2$ , which indicates the syntactic structure as shown, with subordination. Such a pattern of null anaphora is not possible in truly coordinated (*-te*) clauses in Japanese (Yamaguchi-Fujii 1993).

- (1) [S<sub>2</sub> [S<sub>1</sub> *masurawo-no* e<sub>i</sub>      *ywobi-tate-sikaba*]                      *sa-wosika-no*  
fine.man-GEN                      call-stand-PAST.PROV                      PFX-buck-GEN<sub>i</sub>  
*muna-wake-yuka-mu* ]  
breast-divide-go-CONJECTURAL  
"The buck must be pressing through (the brush) because the fine men flushed  
it out." (MYS 20.4320)

We have also found examples where an overt object in  $S_1$  antecedes a null argument in  $S_2$ . To be compatible with Binding Theory, the null argument in  $S_2$  must be lower than  $S_1$ , so that it cannot c-command into  $S_1$ . The possible and impossible positions for this null argument are shown in (2).

- (2) [ $S_2$  (\* $e_i$ ) [ $S_1$  *oposaka-ni apu ya wotomye-wo<sub>i</sub> miti-twopeba*]  
           big.hill-DAT meet FP young.woman-ACC road-ask.PROV  
*tadani pa e<sub>i</sub> nora-zu* ]  
 straight TOP tell-NEG  
 "When I asked the way from the young woman I met on the big hill, she didn't tell me  
 the direct way." (NSK 64)

Akiba (1977) claimed that *-ba* in OJ is a marker of switch-reference – a marker of “different subject” in contrast to *-te*, which marks “same subject”. This claim is challenged and shown to be incorrect for EMJ by Ohori (1994), who reports that the same subject is retained in *ba*-clauses around 20% in MJ and 30% in late MJ, and by McAuley (2002), who reports 30% retention of same subject in EMJ. It should be noted, though, that these proposed analyses actually conflate two different verb forms and clause types, the Provisional and the Conditional, apparently because both verb forms end in the syllable /ba/; they are however morphologically entirely distinct: *takeba* ‘write.Provisional’ versus *kakaba* ‘write.Conditional’. There are important differences in the properties of these two clause types; the corpus shows that Conditionals have a higher proportion of null arguments than Provisionals.

To investigate the switch-reference idea, we go back earlier in time, to the 8<sup>th</sup> century. The OCOJ shows that around 75% of the Provisional clauses have a null subject, and that this subject is retained into  $S_2$  at least 20% of the time. Null objects are retained around 50% of the time. Hence while Provisional clauses might disfavour the identification of the subjects of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , this is not and never has been a formal grammatical property, but is due to the discourse relations between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  (also suggested in Ohori 1994, McAuley 2002). Further investigation through the corpus will clarify these relations, and we believe that we will find different relations for Provisional and Conditional clauses, to explain the difference in rates of null arguments within them.

## References

Akiba, Katsue. 1977. "Switch reference in old Japanese," *Proceedings of the 3rd annual meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, Berkeley Linguistics Society, 610-619.

Kuno, Susumu. 1973. *The Structure of the Japanese Language*. MIT Press.

McAuley, Thomas E. 2002. "Switch-reference and semantic discontinuity in Late Old Japanese," *Journal of Japanese Linguistics*, Nanzan University.

Martin, Samuel E. 1975. *A Reference Grammar of Japanese*. Yale U.P.

Ohori, Toshio. 1994. "Diachrony of Clause Linkage: TE and BA in Old through Middle Japanese," In Pagliuca, W. (ed.) *Perspectives in Grammaticalization*. John Benjamins, 135-149.