Manifestations of split intransitivity in Old Japanese: A corpus-based study

Zixi YOU

E-mail: zixi.you@orinst.ox.ac.uk
The 13th International Conference of EAJS
Tallinn University, Estonia
August 24-27, 2011

About the present research

The present research is a part of my ongoing D.Phil. research, 'Split intransitivity in Old Japanese (OJ)', which is in turn a part of a larger collaborated research project: Verb semantics and argument realization in premodern Japanese: A comprehensive study of the basic syntax of pre-modern Japanese.

Presentation outline

- An introduction to split intransitivity
- Old Japanese texts and the OCOJ Corpus
- Manifestations of split intransitivity in OJ
- Summary
- Features
- Future research

Working definition (a very broad one):

Split intransitivity refers to the heterogeneity of intransitive verbs, differentiated by various clear-cut or gradient syntactic characteristics.

Perlmutter 1978, Burzio 1986) splits intransitive verbs into two distinct classes: unaccusative verbs and unergative verbs.

Unaccusative:

```
D-structure: e [<sub>vp</sub> arrived John]
```

S-structure: John_i [$_{vp}$ arrived t_{i}]

Unergative:

```
D-structure: John [<sub>vp</sub> work]
```

S-structure: John [_{vp} work]

Argument structure:

a. transitive verbs: x<y>

b. unergative intransitive verbs: x<>

unaccusative intransitive verbs: <y>

Semantic features

- unaccusative verbs
- telic
- patient-like
- non-volitional
- affected
- unergative verbs
- atelic
- agent-like
- volitional
- non-affected

Manifestation samples (1)

Italian

perfective operators, experiencer predicates, si-constructions and unexpressed arguments, agreement, Ne-cliticization, past participles, word order, etc.

(Bentley 2006)

Manifestation samples (2)

- English
- resultative construction, causative alternation, X's-way construction, prepositional passives construction, thereconstruction, etc.

(Levin and Rappaport Hovav 1995)

OJ language and the Corpus

- Old Japanese (mainly 700 800 AD)
- The Oxford Corpus of Old Japanese (OCOJ)
- both original script and romanized script
- xml tags following TEI conventions information including original orthography, part-of-speech, morphology (for inflecting words), syntactic constituency, and semantic roles
- Lexicon: lexemes and morphemes are given unique ID numbers (@ana)

Possible manifestations of split intransitivity in OJ

- perfective auxiliaries -(i)n- and -(i)te-
- NV-compounds
- VV-compounds
- VP-preposing
- ▶ wo (...) se- construction
- resultative construction
- verbal prefix i- and sa- (ta-)

Zixi YOU 2011

11

Perfective auxiliary selection

Distribution of the perfective auxiliaries -(i)n and -(i)te

Transitives Intransitives unergatives unaccusatives
$$-(i)te -(i)n-$$

(cf. Washio 2004, Frellesvig 2010)

Verbs that only select -(i)te-

- tiras-
- ▶ omop-
- ▶ ukep-
- ▶ nagek-
- ▶ wem-
- ▶ aswob-
- . . .

- 'scatter (tr.)'
- 'think'
- 'pray for'
- 'sigh; weep'
- 'laugh'
- 'play'

Verbs that only select -(i)n-

```
'scatter (intr.)'
▶ tir-
▶ ide-
          'go out'
         'fall'
• aye-
          'age'
▶ oi−
          'vanish'
▶ ke-
kwopwi- 'love; long for'
        'differ'
▶ tagap-
tat- 'stand; depart'
use- 'get lost'
```

Verbs that select both -(i)te- and -(i)n- (T; N) --an exhaustive list

[only phonographically written perfective auxiliaries are counted here]

```
nar− 'become; grow' (1; 48)
ko− 'come' (4; 40)
• ok− '(dew) settles' (2; 9)
pur- 'rain; fall down' (1; 2)
• se- 'do' (4: 5)
▶ nak- 'cry' (7; 7)
ne− 'sleep' (8; 4)
• ar- 'exist' (3; 1)
▶ sinwop- 'yearn' (6; 1)
tor- 'take; hold in hand' (2; 1)
ip- 'say' (8: 1)
```

The Auxiliary Selection Hierarchy

- CHANGE OF LOCATION selects BE (least variation)
- CHANGE OF STATE
- CONTINUATION OF STATE
- EXISTENCE OF STATE
- UNCONTROLLED PROCESS
- MOTIONAL PROCESS
- NON-MOTIONAL PROCESS selects HAVE (least variation)

(Sorace 2000: 863)

In OJ, there are many NV-compounds, among which *subject+unaccusatives* are frequently observed.

```
yuki+ge- 'snow+vanish'
(MYS.3.382)
pana+dir- 'flower+scatter (intr.)'
(MYS.18.4092)
```

On the other hand, no typical unergative verb is found to be incorporated with its subject noun.

Furthermore, most NV-compounds that involve transitivites are object+transitives, e.g. mono+gatari 'things+tell' (MYS.12.2845)*kuni+mi* 'country+view' (MYS.10.1971)

whereas no 'subject+transitives' is attested.

- Such evidence shows that only internal arguments can be incorporated with the verbs (i.e. the subject of an unaccusative verb, or the object of a transitive verb, in contrast to the subject of an unergative verb or transitive verb).
- The possibility of NV-compounding is a manifestation of split intransitivity in OJ.

Transitivity Harmony Principle

In Modern Japanese, lexical compounds are built by combining two verbs either both with external arguments or both without. (Kageyama 1999)

```
transitive+transitive
unergative+unergative
unaccusative+unaccusative
transitive+unergative
unergative+transitive
*transitive+unaccusative
*unaccusative+transitive
*unergative+unaccusative
*unaccusative+unergative
```

Cline of Transitivity Harmony

- transitive+transitive
- unergative+unergative
- unaccusative+unaccusative
- ?transitive+unergative
- ?unergative+transitive
- ?transitive+unaccusative
- ?unaccusative+transitive
- *unergative+unaccusative
- *unaccusative+unergative

Frequently observed:

- transitive+transitive piraki 'open (trans.)' + ake 'open (trans.)' (MYS.4.591)
- unergative+unergative aswobi 'play' + arukedo 'walk' (MYS.8.1629)
- unaccusative+unaccusative ke 'vanish' + use 'get lost' (MYS.9.1740)

Rarely observed:

[note that most of the following verbs are attested to select both perfective auxiliaries]

- transitive+unaccusative mi 'see' + matwopu 'get lost' (MYS.2.199)
- transitive+unergative kumi 'group (tr.) + ne 'sleep' (KK.91)
- unaccusative+transitive puki 'blow' + midaru 'make confused' (MYS.10.1856)
- unergative+transitive (very rare) ? yuki 'go' + pure 'touch (trans.)' (MYS.13.3324a)

Interesting data

- Rarely observed:
- unaccusative+unergative tati 'stand; depart'+ nageku 'cry'

```
[tat-here is probably a prefix]

(MYS.15.3580)

tiri 'scatter (intr.)'+tobu 'fly'

(MYS.4.543)
```

- The data in OJ not only supports the transitivity harmony principle and its cline to some extent, but also reveals interesting possible combinations for unaccusative+unergative combination of verbs.
- Nonetheless, in terms of frequency, VV-compounding is a manifestation of split intransitivity in OJ, in that *like typically combines with like*.

In OCOJ corpus, unergative verbs are observed to be involved in VP-preposing.

念衣吾為流

omopi *so* wa ga su*ru* think.INF FOC I GEN do.ADN (MYS.12.3020)

[omop- here is in a different sense as that in kimi wo a ga mopu (MYS.20.4301)]

斑鳩之 因可乃池之

ikaruga no yoruka no ike no

Ikaruga GEN Yoruka GEN pool COP.inf

宜毛 君乎不言者

yorosiku mo kimi wo ipa-neba

ADJ-ACOP.inf TOP lord ACC say-NEG.PROV

念衣吾為流

omopi so wa ga suru

think.INF FOC I GEN do.ADN

'Because people are not speaking well of you, I worry.'

(MYS.12.3020)

Whereas unaccusatives are not observed to be involved in VPpreposing.

Summary of the manifestations of split intransitivity in OJ

- perfective auxiliaries -(i)n- and -(i)te-
- NV-compounds
- VV-compounds
- VP-preposing
- ▶ wo (...) se- construction
- resultative construction
- ▶ verbal prefix *i* and *sa* (*ta*–)

Features

- covert split intransitivity (cf. Creissels 2008)
- deep unaccusativity(cf. Levin and Rappaport Hovav 1995)

Future research

In my ongoing DPhil research, I am also going to

- compare the sets of verbs that participate in each type of manifestation, and
- look at the interrelationships between various semantic factors (agentivity, volitionality, affectedness, telicity, etc.),

Future research

in order to

- investigate the lexical-semantic aspects of split intransitivity in relation to its morpho-syntactic expression, and
- explore to what extent intransitive verbs can be classified as unaccusative and unergative in Old Japanese.

References

- ▶ Bentley, Delia. 2006. *Split Intransitivity in Italian*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Chomsky, Noam. 1986. Barriers. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Burzio, Luigi. 1986. *Italian Syntax: A Government-Binding Approach*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Creissels, Denis. 2008. Remarks on split intransitivity and fluid intransitivity. In *Empirical Issues in Syntax and Semantics* 7, ed. O. Bonami and P. Cabredo Hofherr, 139-168. http://www.cssp.cnrs.fr/eiss7.
- Frellesvig, Bjarke. 2010. *A History of the Japanese Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Frellesvig, Bjarke, Stephen Wright Horn, Kerri L. Russell, and Peter Sells. 2010. Verb semantics and argument realization in pre-modern Japanese: A preliminary study of compound verbs in Old Japanese. *Gengo kenkyū* 138:25-65.

References

- Kageyama, Taro. 1999. Word formation. In *The Handbook of Japanese Linguistics*, ed. Natsuko Tsujumura, 297-235. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishers.
- Levin, Beth & Malka Rappaport Hovav. 1995. *Unaccusativity: At the Syntax-Lexical Semantics Interface*. Cambridge, Mass; London: MIT Press.
- Perlmutter, David. 1978. Impersonal passives and the Unaccusative Hypothesis. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistic Society*: 157-189. Berkeley: Berkeley Linguistics Society, University of California.
- Sorace, Antonella. 2000. Gradients in auxiliary selection with intransitive verbs. *Language* 76: 859-890.
- Washio, Ryuichi. 2004. Auxiliary selection in the east. *Journal of East Asian Linguistics* 13 (3): 197-256.

Thank you very much for your attention.

Zixi YOU

zixi.you@orinst.ox.ac.uk